



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,650	02/04/2005	Giuliano Cavaglia	CAVA3001/JEK	9565
23364	7590	08/31/2007		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
			ART UNIT 1711	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/31/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/523,650

Applicant(s)

CAVAGLIA, GIULIANO

Examiner

Gregory Listvoyb

Art Unit

1711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 56-108 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 57, 58, 64-83 and 108 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 56, 59-63, 84-107 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is issued as a result of Applicant request. During his phone call, made on 6/13/07 J. Ernest Kenney pointed out that Non-Final office action, issued on 4/6/07 addresses Original set of claims, but not ones amended on 2/28/07.

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 56, 59-63, 84-107, drawn to process for polymerization of polyesters.

Group 2, claim(s) 57-58, 64-83 and 108, drawn to apparatus for polymerization of polyesters. The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The invention as claimed in independent claim 1 does not define a special technical feature distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art. The process for the solid phase polymerization of polyesters as claimed in claim 1 are fully anticipated by, for example, disclosure of US Patent 3075952 to Coover et al.

Art Unit: 1711

During a telephone conversation with J. Ernest Kenney on 2/27/07 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Cavaglia, claims 56, 59-63, 84-107. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 57-58, 64-83 and 108 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

Claims 56, 59-60, 84-87, 89, 92, 97, 101-102, 104, 106-107 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coover et al (US patent 3075952) herein Coover.

Coover discloses a process for the solid phase continuous polymerization of polyesters, comprising the following steps:

Preparing a mass polyester prepolymer granules, comprising at least one polyester (see Examples 1A and 1B).

- feeding crystallised granules at a temperature within the range 170 °C - 300°C (Column 2, line 25) into an horizontal, cylindrical, rotary reactor, which is being slightly inclined (Column 4, line 20 and Column 5, line 15) downwardly from a feeding end (Column 5, line 15); producing a purge gas flow inside said reactor (Column 2, line 25), which fluidize the

Art Unit: 1711

particles; causing the intrinsic viscosity (IV) of polyester to increase typically on 0.4 units (column 5, line 35).

Regarding claims 84, 86 and 87 Coover teaches a reactor purge with dried oxygen-free Nitrogen (Example 1B). Coover teaches that Nitrogen has Carbon monoxide and less than 2% of Oxygen (see Claim 2).

In reference to Claims 85-87, Coover discloses dried Nitrogen or Air, which does not have any organic impurities. (Column 2, line 65).

In reference to claims 89, 92 Coover discloses a polyester, based on terephthalic acid (Claim 9), polymerized in 1:1 ratio with glycol. Therefore, the terephthalic acid provides 100% of acid moieties. The initial IV is within the range of 0.1-0.4 (see Column 4, line 15), which meets the limitation of Claim 92.

In reference to Claim 97 and 100 Coover teaches particles more than 5 mm (Column 2, line 30) or less than 3 to 5 mm (Column 5, line 55). Since Coover teaches the same range of particle size as one of the Application, the limitation of particle volume is inherently met.

Claims 56, 59, 60, 84-89, 101-102, 104, 106-107 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barkey et al (US 3497477) herein Barkey.

Regarding claims Barkey discloses a process for the solid phase continuous polymerization of polyesters, comprising the following steps:

Preparing a mass of polyester granules (particles) to a crystallizer and feeding crystallised granules at a temperature in the range 175 °C - 300°C (Column 6, line 15) into an horizontal, cylindrical, rotary reactor, which is being slightly inclined (Column 8, line 35); producing a purge gas flow inside the reactor (Column 5, line 75), which is a mixture of Nitrogen, Helium etc (see Column 6, line 40) which fluidize the particles and contains oxidizable material for aldehyde removal. The above gas is recycled after drying and purification (Column 3, line 5).

Barkey discloses that particles have less than 6 mm (600 um) in diameter (Column 8, line 15).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Claim 90 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coover in combination with Tung et al (US 4644049) herein Tung.

Coover discloses a process for the solid phase continuous polymerization of polyesters based on terephthalic acid (see discussion above).

Coover does not teach copolymer of terephthalic and isophthalic acids.

Tung teaches copolyester comprising 96% of terephthalic and 4% of isophthalic acids (see Example 10).

Isophthalic acid decreases degree of crystallinity of the copolymer, thus, improving its processability.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills of the art to introduce small amount of terephthalic acid in the copolymer to increase its processability.

Claims 61-63, 91-94 and 103-104 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinehart et al (US patent 4876326) herein Rinehart in combination with Coover.

Regarding claims 61-63, 91-94, 103-104 Rinehart discloses a process of solid state polymerization of terephthalates (including polybutylene terephthalates, Column 4, line 50), isophthalates (Column 4, line 25), naphthalates (Column 4, line 30) and their mixtures, where polyester has starting IV within the range of 0.1-0.7 dl/g (Column 3, line

Art Unit: 1711

60). The polyester typically has a crystallinity between 20 and 40% with crystallization time of 5 min (Column 8, line 15) at temperature below sticking one (230C).

Rinehart does not teach that his tubular reactor is slightly inclined.

Coover discloses slightly tilted reactor.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skills of the art to use a reactor with effective mixing of the components and shortest pathway of outgoing gases through the polymer particles.

Claims 96-100 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coover in combination with. Duh et al (US patent 5449701) herein Duh.

Cover discloses the solid-state polymerization of polyesters (see discussion above).

He does not teach the shapes of the particles.

Duh discloses a solid-state polymerization for polyethylene naphthalate. He teaches that feeding prepolymer typically contains solid granules in the shape of pellets,



Art Unit: 1711

spheres, chips or cubes. Those shapes are advantageous since the formation of undesirable very high molecular weight fraction is reduced (column 1, line 20).

Duh teaches that although at smaller particle size the reaction is more effective due to better diffusion, very small particles has a tendency to stickiness. Therefore there is a limitation for minimal particle size in solid state polymerization (column 1, line 30).

In addition, shape of the particles may play an important role in diffusion processes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art that particle size and shape (i.e. surface area at given mass) is the most important factor for diffusion of water from the particles. At high surface area equilibrium of post polymerization reaction shifts to molecular weight increase, which makes a process more efficient.

Claim 95 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coover in combination with Scannapieco (US 4849497) herein Scannapieco

Coover discloses a process for the solid phase continuous polymerization of polyesters (see discussion above).

Art Unit: 1711

Coover does not disclose that carboxyl end group content should be within the range of 10-45%.

Scannapieco discloses a process for the solid phase continuous polymerization of polyesters.

Scannapieco teaches that carboxyl end group content should be less than 30% in order to achieve high rate of the post-polymerisation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use prepolymer with carboxyl acid group content below than 30% in order to achieve high rate of the polymerization.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Listvoyb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Listvoyb  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1711

GL  
\*\*\*



James J. Seidleck  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 1700